

AUG - 9 2005

For The Northern Mariana Islands
By _____
(Deputy Clerk)

1 LEONARDO M. RAPADAS
2 United States Attorney
3 JAMIE D. BOWERS
4 TIMOTHY E. MORAN
5 Assistant U.S. Attorneys
6 DISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN
MARIANA ISLANDS
Horiguchi Building, Third Floor
P.O. Box 500377
Saipan, MP 96950
Telephone: (670) 236-2982
Fax: (670) 236-2985

8 | Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, } Criminal Case No. 04-00038
13 v. Plaintiff, } GOVERNMENT'S AMENDED PROPOSED
14 ERIC JOHN TUDELA MAFNAS and JURY INSTRUCTIONS
15 CHARLEY K. PATRIS.
16 Defendants. }

COMES NOW the plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel, Leonardo M. Rapadas, United States Attorney, and Jamie D. Bowers and Timothy E. Moran, Assistant United States Attorneys, and hereby files its amended proposed jury instructions.

LEONARDO M. RAPADAS
United States Attorney
Districts of Guam and the NMI

By: JAMIE D. BOWERS
TIMOTHY E. MORAN
Assistant United States Attorney

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2

3 NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS
4

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal Case No. 04-00038
6 Plaintiff,)
7 v.) JURY INSTRUCTIONS
8 ERIC JOHN TUDELA MAFNAS and)
9 CHARLEY K. PATRIS.)
Defendants.)

10
11 Dated this _____ day of August, 2005.
12
13

14 A. Wallace Tashima
15 Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence, it is my duty to instruct you
3 on the law which applies to this case. A copy of these instructions will be available in the jury room
4 for you to consult.

5 It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply
6 the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or
7 not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or
8 sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will
9 recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

10 In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore
11 others; they are all equally important. You must not read into these instructions or into anything the
12 court may have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return—that is a matter
13 entirely up to you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 The indictment is not evidence. The defendants have pleaded not guilty to the charges. The
3 defendants are presumed to be innocent and do not have to testify or present any evidence to prove
4 innocence. The government has the burden of proving every element of the charge beyond a
5 reasonable doubt.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 A defendant in a criminal case has a constitutional right not to testify. No
3 presumption of guilt may be raised, and no inference of any kind may be drawn, from the fact that
4 the defendants did not testify.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced that a defendant is
3 guilty. It is not required that the government prove guilt beyond all possible doubt.

4 A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense and is not based purely
5 on speculation. It may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from
6 lack of evidence.

7 If after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are not convinced
8 beyond a reasonable doubt that a defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find that defendant not guilty.
9 On the other hand, if after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are
10 convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that a defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find that defendant
11 guilty.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of:

3 (1) the sworn testimony of any witness;

4 (2) the exhibits which have been received into evidence; and

5 (3) any facts to which all the lawyers have stipulated.

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into
3 evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts
4 are. I will list them for you:

5 1. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses.

6 What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times is
7 intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you
8 remember them differ from the way the lawyers state them, your memory of them controls.

9 2. Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their
10 clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You
11 should not be influenced by the question, the objection, or the court's ruling on it.

12 3. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to
13 disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition some testimony and
14 exhibits have been received only for a limited purpose; where I have given a limiting
15 instruction, you must follow it.

16 4. Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence.
17 You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as
3 testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of
4 facts from which you could find that another fact exists, even though it has not been proved directly.
5 You are to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it
6 is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INSTRUCTION

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

1. the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;
2. the witness' memory;
3. the witness' manner while testifying;
4. the witness' interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;
5. whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony;
6. the reasonableness of the witness' testimony in light of all the evidence; and
7. any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify.

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 [if applicable]

3 Defendant _____ has testified. You should treat this testimony just as you would
4 the testimony of any other witness.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 The defendants are on trial only for the crimes charged in the indictment, not for any other
3 activities.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2
3

[if applicable]

4 You have heard evidence of other crimes, acts and wrongs engaged in by a defendant. You
5 may consider that evidence only as it bears on that defendant's intent, plan, knowledge, identity,
6 absence of mistake or accident and for no other purpose.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 A separate crime is charged against one or both of the defendants in each count. The charges
3 have been joined for trial. You must decide the case of each defendant on each crime charged against
4 that defendant separately. Your verdict on any count as to any defendant should not control your
5 verdict on any other count or as to any other defendant.

6 All of the instructions apply to each defendant and each count.
7

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

[if applicable]

3 Languages other than English have been used during this trial.
4

5 The evidence you are to consider is only that provided through the official court translators.
6

7 Although some of you may know the non-English language used, it is important that all jurors
8 consider the same evidence. Therefore, you must base your decision on the evidence presented in the
9 English translation. You must disregard any different meaning of the non-English words.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2
3

4 You have heard testimony that a defendant or defendants made a statement. It is for you to
5 decide (1) whether the defendant made the statement, and (2) if so, how much weight to give to it. In
6 making those decisions, you should consider all of the evidence about the statement, including the
7 circumstances under which the defendant may have made it.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2
3
4
5

You have heard evidence of other crimes engaged in by a defendant. You may consider that
evidence only as it bears on that defendant's motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan,
knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or accident and for no other purpose.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

You have heard evidence that witnesses Carl Cabrera and Steven Abal, have each been convicted of a felony. You may consider this evidence, along with other pertinent evidence, in deciding whether or not to believe these witnesses and how much weight to give to their testimony.

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 You have heard testimony from Carl Cabrera and Steven Abal, who admitted to being
3 accomplices to one or more of the crimes charged. An accomplice is one who voluntarily and
4 intentionally joins with another person in committing a crime. For this reason, in evaluating the
5 testimony of these witnesses, you should consider the extent to which or whether their testimony
6 may have been influenced by this factor. In addition, you should examine their testimony with
7 greater caution than that of other witnesses.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 You have heard testimony from Carl Cabrera and Steven Abal, who both pleaded guilty to
3 crimes arising out of the same events for which the defendants are on trial. These guilty pleas are not
4 evidence against the defendants, and you may consider them only in determining the believability of
5 the witnesses. You should consider such testimony with greater caution than that of other witnesses.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

3 A defendant may be found guilty of any of the crimes charged in the indictment, even if that
4 defendant personally did not commit the act or acts constituting the crime but aided and abetted in its
5 commission. To prove a defendant guilty of aiding and abetting, the government must prove beyond
6 a reasonable doubt:

7 First, that the crime charged in the indictment was committed by someone;

8 Second, the defendant knowingly and intentionally aided, counseled, commanded, induced or
9 procured that person to commit each element of the crime charged in the indictment; and

10 Third, the defendant acted before the crime was completed.

11
12
13 It is not enough that the defendant merely associated with the person committing the crime,
14 or unknowingly or unintentionally did things that were helpful to that person, or was present at the
15 scene of the crime.

16 The evidence must show beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with the
17 knowledge and intention of helping that person commit robbery interfering with interstate or foreign
18 commerce.

19 The government is not required to prove precisely which defendant actually committed the
20 crime and which defendant aided and abetted.

INSTRUCTION

Defendants Mafnas and Patris are charged in Count One of the indictment with conspiring to commit an offense against the United States; specifically, theft concerning programs receiving federal funds, perjury, and false statements within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in violation of Section 371 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, from in or about April, 2002, through December, 2003, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit at least one of the crimes as charged in the indictment, namely theft, perjury or false statements;

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and

Third, one of the members of the conspiracy committed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy, with all of you agreeing on a particular overt act that you find was committed.

I shall discuss with you briefly the law relating to each of these elements.

A conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership—an agreement of two or more persons to commit one or more crimes. The crime of conspiracy is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether the crime agreed upon was committed.

For a conspiracy to have existed, it is not necessary that the conspirators made a formal agreement or that they agreed on every detail of the conspiracy. It is not enough, however, that they simply met, discussed matters of common interest, acted in similar ways, or perhaps helped one

1 another. You must find that there was a plan to commit at least one of the crimes alleged in the
2 indictment as an object of the conspiracy with all of you agreeing as to the particular crime which the
3 conspirators agreed to commit.

4 One becomes a member of a conspiracy by willfully participating in the unlawful plan with
5 the intent to advance or further some object or purpose of the conspiracy, even though the person
6 does not have full knowledge of all the details of the conspiracy. Furthermore, one who willfully
7 joins an existing conspiracy is as responsible for it as the originators. On the other hand, one who has
8 no knowledge of a conspiracy, but happens to act in a way which furthers some object or purpose of
9 the conspiracy, does not thereby become a conspirator. Similarly, a person does not become a
10 conspirator merely by associating with one or more persons who are conspirators, nor merely by
11 knowing that a conspiracy exists.

12 An overt act does not itself have to be unlawful. A lawful act may be an element of a
13 conspiracy if it was done for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. The government is not
14 required to prove that the defendant personally did one of the overt acts.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 You must decide whether the conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States
3 existed, and, if it did, who at least some of its members were. If you find that the conspiracy charged
4 did not exist, then you must return a not guilty verdict, even though you may find that some other
5 conspiracy existed. Similarly, if you find that any defendant was not a member of the charged
6 conspiracy, then you must find that defendant not guilty, even though that defendant may have been
7 a member of some other conspiracy.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

3 A conspiracy may continue for a long period of time and may include the performance of
4 many transactions. It is not necessary that all members of the conspiracy join it at the same time, and
5 one may become a member of a conspiracy without full knowledge of all the details of the unlawful
6 scheme or the names, identities, or locations of all of the other members.

7 Even though a defendant did not directly conspire with the other conspirators in the overall
8 scheme, the defendant has, in effect, agreed to participate in the conspiracy if it is proved beyond a
9 reasonable doubt that:

10 (1) the defendant directly conspired with one or more conspirators to carry out at least one of
11 the objects of the conspiracy,
12 (2) the defendant knew or had reason to know that other conspirators were involved with
13 those with whom the defendant directly conspired, and
14 (3) the defendant had reason to believe that whatever benefits the defendant might get from
15 the conspiracy were probably dependent upon the success of the entire venture.

17
18 It is no defense that a person's participation in a conspiracy was minor or for a short period of
19 time.

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Once a person becomes a member of a conspiracy, that person remains a member until that
3 person withdraws from it. One may withdraw by doing acts which are inconsistent with the purpose
4 of the conspiracy and by making reasonable efforts to tell the co-conspirators about those acts. You
5 may consider any definite, positive step that shows that the conspirator is no longer a member of the
6 conspiracy to be evidence of withdrawal.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

3 Each member of the conspiracy is responsible for the actions of the other conspirators
4 performed during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy. If one member of a conspiracy
5 commits a crime in furtherance of a conspiracy, the other members have also, under the law,
6 committed that crime.

7 Therefore, you may find a defendant guilty of theft concerning a program receiving federal
8 funds as charged in Count Two, false statements as charged in Counts Five and Six, and perjury as
9 charged in Counts Seven and Eight of the indictment if the government has proved each of the
10 following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 11 1. a person committed the specific crime as alleged in Count Two, Five, Six, Seven and
12 Eight;
- 13 2. the person was a member of the conspiracy charged in Count One of the indictment;
- 14 3. the person committed the crime furtherance of the conspiracy;
- 15 4. the defendant was a member of the same conspiracy at the time the offense charged in
16 Counts Two, Five, Six, Seven and Eight was committed; and
- 17 5. the offense fell within the scope of the unlawful agreement and could reasonably have
18 been foreseen to be a necessary or natural consequence of the unlawful agreement.

INSTRUCTION

Defendants Mafnas and Patris are both charged in Count Two of the indictment with theft concerning a program receiving federal funds in violation of Section 666 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for a defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant was an agent of the CNMI Department of Public Safety;

Second, the CNMI Department of Public Safety received at least \$10,000 within a one-year period in assistance from the United States in the form of grants, contracts, subsidies, or loans; and

Third, the defendant stole property that is valued at \$5,000 or more and is owned by or under the care, custody or control of the CNMI Department of Public Safety.

An agent is a person who is authorized to act on behalf of the CNMI Department of Public Safety, including an employee, officer or representative.

United States v. Simas, 937 F.2d 459 (9th Cir. 1991).

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

3 In determining the value of the stolen property at issue in Count Two of the indictment, if you
4 find that a defendant stole methamphetamine or "ice," a controlled substance, you must include the
5 value of that property even though it cannot be sold legally. In valuing methamphetamine or ice, you
6 should ascribe the value in the market in which it would have been sold. That is, you must give
7 methamphetamine or ice its "street value" to drug users. You must decide the "street value"
8 according to the testimony you have heard. It does not matter that methamphetamine or ice has no
9 value to the government.

10 .
11
12
13 United States v. Gordon, 638 F.2d 886 (5th Cir. 1981); United States v. Bullock, 451 F.2d 884, 890
14 (5th Cir. 1971); United States v. Kroesser, 731 f.2d 1509, 1517-18 (11th Cir. 1984).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INSTRUCTION

Defendant Mafnas is charged in Count Three of the indictment with conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, namely methamphetamine in the form commonly known as “ice,” in violation of Section 846 of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for a defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, between about June, 2002 and February, 2004, there was an agreement between two or more persons to distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, namely methamphetamine in the form commonly known as "ice;" and

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

I shall discuss with you briefly the law relating to each of these elements.

A conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership—an agreement of two or more persons to commit one or more crimes. The crime of conspiracy is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether the crime agreed upon was committed.

For a conspiracy to have existed, it is not necessary that the conspirators made a formal agreement or that they agreed on every detail of the conspiracy. It is not enough, however, that they simply met, discussed matters of common interest, acted in similar ways, or perhaps helped one another. You must find that there was a plan to commit at least one of the crimes alleged in the indictment as an object of the conspiracy with all of you agreeing as to the particular crime which the conspirators agreed to commit.

1 One becomes a member of a conspiracy by willfully participating in the unlawful plan with
2 the intent to advance or further some object or purpose of the conspiracy, even though the person
3 does not have full knowledge of all the details of the conspiracy. Furthermore, one who willfully
4 joins an existing conspiracy is as responsible for it as the originators. On the other hand, one who has
5 no knowledge of a conspiracy, but happens to act in a way which furthers some object or purpose of
6 the conspiracy, does not thereby become a conspirator. Similarly, a person does not become a
7 conspirator merely by associating with one or more persons who are conspirators, nor merely by
8 knowing that a conspiracy exists.

10 An overt act does not itself have to be unlawful. A lawful act may be an element of a
11 conspiracy if it was done for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. The government is not
12 required to prove that the defendant personally did one of the overt acts.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

2 You must decide whether the conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute
3 methamphetamine existed, and, if it did, who at least some of its members were. If you find that the
4 conspiracy charged did not exist, then you must return a not guilty verdict, even though you may find
5 that some other conspiracy existed. Similarly, if you find that defendant Mafnas was not a member of
6 the charged conspiracy, then you must find him not guilty, even though he may have been a member
7 of some other conspiracy.

8
9 This conspiracy is separate from the conspiracy to commit an offense against the United
10 States, charged in Count One.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

3 A conspiracy may continue for a long period of time and may include the performance of
4 many transactions. It is not necessary that all members of the conspiracy join it at the same time, and
5 one may become a member of a conspiracy without full knowledge of all the details of the unlawful
6 scheme or the names, identities, or locations of all of the other members.
7

8 Even though a defendant did not directly conspire with the other conspirators in the overall
9 scheme, the defendant has, in effect, agreed to participate in the conspiracy if it is proved beyond a
reasonable doubt that:

10 (1) the defendant directly conspired with one or more conspirators to carry out at least one of
11 the objects of the conspiracy,
12 (2) the defendant knew or had reason to know that other conspirators were involved with
13 those with whom the defendant directly conspired, and
14 (3) the defendant had reason to believe that whatever benefits the defendant might get from
15 the conspiracy were probably dependent upon the success of the entire venture.
16

17
18 It is no defense that a person's participation in a conspiracy was minor or for a short period of
19 time.
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Once a person becomes a member of a conspiracy, that person remains a member until that
3 person withdraws from it. One may withdraw by doing acts which are inconsistent with the purpose
4 of the conspiracy and by making reasonable efforts to tell the co-conspirators about those acts. You
5 may consider any definite, positive step that shows that the conspirator is no longer a member of the
6 conspiracy to be evidence of withdrawal.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

2 Defendant Mafnas is charged in Count Four of the indictment with possession of
3 methamphetamine in the form commonly known as "ice" with intent to distribute in violation of
4 Section 841(a)(1) of Title 21 of the United States Code. In order for him to be found guilty of this
5 charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:
6

7 First, from on or about May 12, 2003, until a date unknown, defendant Mafnas knowingly
8 possessed methamphetamine in the form commonly known as "ice;" and

9 Second, he possessed it with the intent to deliver it to another person.

10 It does not matter whether the defendant knew that the substance was methamphetamine. It
11 is sufficient that the defendant knew that it was some kind of prohibited drug.

12 To "possess with intent to distribute" means to possess with intent to deliver or transfer
13 possession of a controlled substance to another person, with or without any financial interest in the
14 transaction.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

2 Defendants Mafnas and Patris are charged respectively in Counts Five and Six of the
3 indictment with making false statements within the jurisdiction of an agency of the United States in
4 violation of Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for a defendant to be found
5 guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable
6 doubt:

7 First, on or about December 22, 2003 the defendant made a false statement in a matter within
8 the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

9 Second, the defendant acted willfully, that is deliberately and with knowledge that the
10 statement was untrue; and

11 Third, the statement was material to the activities or decisions of the Federal Bureau of
12 Investigation. A statement is material if it could have influenced the agency's decisions or activities.

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Defendant Mafnas is charged alone in Count Seven of the indictment with perjury in
3 violation of Section 1623 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for a defendant to be found
4 guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable
5 doubt:

6 First, the defendant testified under oath orally before the grand jury, on or about December
7 29, 2003;

8 Second, the testimony was false;

9 Third, the false testimony was material to the matters before the grand jury; and

10 Fourth, the defendant acted willfully, that is deliberately and with knowledge that the
11 testimony was false.

12 All of you must agree as to which statement was false.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Defendant Patris is charged alone in Count Eight of the indictment with perjury in violation
3 of Section 1623 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for a defendant to be found guilty of
4 that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

5 First, the defendant testified under oath orally before the grand on or about December 29,
6 2003;

7 Second, the testimony was false;

8 Third, the false testimony was material to the matters before the grand jury; and

9 Fourth, the defendant acted willfully, that is deliberately and with knowledge that the
10 testimony was false.

11 All of you must agree as to which statement was false.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

2 Defendant, Charley K. Patris is charged alone in Count Nine of the indictment with being an
3 Accessory After the Fact, in violation of Section 3 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for
4 him to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements
5 beyond a reasonable doubt.
6

7
8 First: defendant Patris knew that Eric Mafnas and others had committed the crime or crimes of
9 conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine ice, and possession
10 with intent to distribute methamphetamine ice; and

11
12 Second: defendant Patris assisted Eric Mafnas with the intent to hinder and prevent Mafnas'
13 apprehension, trial, and punishment.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Now, I want to say a word about the dates that have been mentioned in the indictment.

3 The indictment charges that the crimes occurred on approximately a certain date. The

4 Government does not have to prove that the crimes happened on that exact date. But the

5 Government must prove that the crimes occurred reasonably close to that date.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2

2 When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your
3 foreperson. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

4 You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so.
5 Your verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous.

6 Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have
7 considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your
8 fellow jurors.

9 Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. But
10 do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

11 It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of
12 you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief
13 about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in
3 these instructions. However, nothing that I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict
4 should be—that is entirely for you to decide.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 Some of you have taken notes during the trial. Whether or not you took notes, you should
3 rely on your own memory of what was said. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not
4 be overly influenced by the notes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 The punishment provided by law for this crime is for the court to decide. You may not
3 consider punishment in deciding whether the government has proved its case against the defendants
4 beyond a reasonable doubt.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____

2 A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a
3 verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it and advise
4 the bailiff that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INSTRUCTION _____
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing, and I will respond to the jury concerning the case only in writing, or here in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the lawyers before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including me—how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt of the defendant, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.